REGULATION TEXT

Chapter 15

OFF-HIGHWAY MOTOR VEHICLE RECREATION GRANTS AND COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS PROGRAM REGULATIONS

4970.00. APPLICATION OF CHAPTER

California Code of Regulations (CCR), Title 14, Division 3, Chapter 15 applies only to Grant and Cooperative Agreement Applications received by the Off-Highway Motor Vehicle Recreation (OHMVR) Division on or after January 913, 2012 2014.

Note: Authority cited: Sections 5001.5 and 5003, Public Resources Code.

Reference: Sections 5090.01-5090.70, Public Resources Code.

4970.10.2 Development

- -

(b) Available Funding
From the O&M category, up to at least ten percent (10%) of the funds will be available for Development Projects.

. . .

- (e) Warranty for OHV Use:
 - (1) The Applicant shall warrant that the Facility will be used for OHV Recreation,
 - (2) If the Facility is not used for the purpose of OHV Recreation for a period of 25 years following completion of the Project, the Division may seek reimbursement of the Grant amount on a prorated basis, to the extent allowable by law.
- (e)(f) Optional Project-Specific Application Documents
 If Applicants deem it helpful to support their specific Project Application, they may submit up to two (2) pages of Project-specific photos.
- (f)(g) Evaluation Criteria
 See Appendix, incorporated by reference, for Development evaluation criteria.

Note: Authority cited: Sections 5001.5 and 5003, Public Resources Code. Reference: Sections 5024.1, 5090.32, 5090.35, 5090.50 and 5090.53, Public Resources Code.

4970.10.3. Planning

. . .

(b) Available Funding From the O&M category, up to at least ten percent (10%) of the funds will be available for planning Projects.

Note: Authority cited: Sections 5001.5 and 5003, Public Resources Code. Reference: Sections 5024.1, 5090.32, 5090.35, 5090.50 and 5090.53, Public Resources Code.

4970.10.4 Acquisition

. . .

(b) Available Funding
From the O&M category, up to at least ten percent (10%) of the funds will be available for acquisition Projects.

. . .

Note: Authority cited: Sections 5001.5 and 5003, Public Resources Code. Reference: Sections 5024.1, 5090.32, 5090.35, 5090.50 and 5090.53, Public Resources Code.

4970.15.1. Operation and Maintenance (O&M)

- (a) Within the O&M category, the funds shall be distributed available as follows, except as provided in Sections 4970.15.1(c):
 - (1) At least seventy percent (70%) for GO,
 - (2) Up to At least ten percent (10%) for Development,
 - (3) Up to At least ten percent (10%) for planning, and
 - (4) Up to At least ten percent (10%) for acquisition.

. . .

Table 4 – Example of Score Calculation for GO Projects

Final Score = Actual Score/ Possible Score

General Criteria 90 of 415 120 Project-specific Criteria 52 of 59 55

(90 + 52) / (115 + 120 + 59 + 55) = 0.8161 + 0.8114

 $0.8161 \cdot 0.8114 \times 100 = 81.61\% \cdot 81.14\% = Final Score$

(c) Eligible Projects shall be ranked by score. Projects shall be funded in order of score from highest to lowest until available funds are exhausted, taking into account the Project type funding restrictions in Section 4970.15.1(a). If there are not sufficient GO Project funding requests to consume 70% of the available O&M funding category the percentages specified in Section 4970.15.1(a)(1-4), the other O&M sub-category Projects shall receive funding in order of score.

Note: Authority cited: Sections 5001.5 and 5003, Public Resources Code. Reference: Sections 5090.32 and 5090.50, Public Resources Code.

4970.15.2. Restoration

. . .

Table 5 – Example of Score Calculation for Restoration Projects

Final Score = Actual Score/ Possible Score

General Criteria 90 of 415 120 Project-specific Criteria 78 of 83

(90 + 78) / (115 120 + 83) = 0.8485 0.8276

 $0.8485 \ 0.8276 \ x \ 100 = 84.85\% \ 82.76\% = Final Score$

. . .

Note: Authority cited: Sections 5001.5 and 5003, Public Resources Code. Reference: Sections 5090.32 and 5090.50, Public Resources Code.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

APPLICATION YEAR:	
APPLICANT TYPE / NAME:	
Is this Application supported by a HMP submitted by another Applicant?	□ NO
Has the Applicant previously submitted a HMP Part 2 that is currently in use in the proposed Project Area?	□ NO
CECTION I CHIMMADY OF LIMP CHANGES	

SECTION I. SUMMARY OF HMP CHANGES

Applicants must submit a complete HMP with all Tables, regardless of whether a HMP was previously submitted. Hardcopy maps may remain on file at the Division and do not need to be resubmitted if they have not changed. Submittal of all maps in an electronic format is encouraged.

Table 1 describes how the program has changed from last year. Summarize any changes including additions to the previous year's HMP.

<u>Change From Previous Year</u> – Describe a substantive change (e.g., new species being monitored, change in monitoring methodology) in the HMP from the previous year.

<u>Section Where Change Occurs</u> – List where the change is found in the HMP.

Table 1. Summary of HMP Changes	
Change From Previous Year	Section Where Change Occurs

<u>NOTE</u>: For all Applicants having not previously submitted a HMP that is currently in use in the proposed Project Area: Submit only Sections II-IV.

Whenever the HMP relies on a regional or other study, the HMP must clearly explain how that study applies to the specific Project Area.

SECTION II. SPECIAL-STATUS SPECIES AND ANY OTHER SPECIES OF LOCAL CONCERN THAT WERE CONSIDERED FOR INCLUSION IN THE HMP

Complete Table 2 for all reviewed special-status species and any other species of local concern. List all special-status species that could occur within the Project Area of all proposed Projects with Ground Disturbing Activities. special-status species are:

- Federally Endangered (FE)
- Federally Threatened (FT)
- Species proposed for federal listing as endangered or threatened (FPE/T)
- Federal Candidate (FC)
- United States Forest Service Sensitive Species (FSS)
- Bureau of Land Management Sensitive Species (BLMSS)
- State Endangered (SE)
- State Threatened (ST)
- Species proposed for state listing as endangered or threatened (SPE/T)
- State Candidate (SC)
- California Species of Special Concern (CSSC)
- State Fully Protected (SP)
- California Native Plant Society 1B Plants rare, threatened, or endangered in California and elsewhere (CNPS 1B)
- California Native Plant Society 2 Plants that are rare, threatened, or endangered in California, but more common elsewhere (CNPS 2)
- California Rare Plant Rank 1A-4 (CRPR)
- United States Forest Service Management Indicator Species "MIS" (FSMIS)
- Bureau of Land Management "MIS" (BLMMIS)
- Species of local concern and any other that the Grant or Cooperative Agreement Applicant has determined shall be included in the HMP (SLC)

<u>Listing Status</u> – Identify the list(s) that contain the identified species utilizing the acronym codes in parentheses above.

Habitat – Describe the listed species' habitats.

<u>Potential for Occurrence</u> – Identify whether there is potential for the listed species to occur within the Project Area of applicable proposed Projects.

Addressed by HMP? – Indicate whether the species or habitat is addressed in the HMP. If not, explain why. If the species could potentially be affected by any Project activities in areas open to legal OHV recreation, state YES and be sure to address the species in subsequent HMP sections. If the species could not be affected by Project activities, state NO. Include a brief explanation of the rationale for not including a particular species when the answer in the column box is NO. For example, if activities are limited to routine trail maintenance involving trail brushing, minor grading, and reinstallation of erosion control structures, those activities probably would not affect foraging special-status migratory birds.

NOTE: The Wildlife and Habitat Data Analysis Branch of the California Department of Fish and Game Wildlife (CDFGCDFW) produces complete lists of "special" plants and animals, which are updated twice a year as part of the California Natural Diversity Data Base (CNDDB). Subscribers to CNDDB receive the lists list data as part of their subscription. The lists can also be obtained from the CDFGCDFW website at:

http://www.dfg.ca.gov/wildlife/species/list.html http://www.wildlife.ca.gov. Other useful California species lists can also be found at this website.

	Species	Listing Status	Habi	tat	Potential for Occurrence	Addressed by HMP? If not, explain why? ³
	_					
¹ Listing Sta	atus Key:					
FE	Federally Endangered			SC	State Candidate	
FT	Federally Threatened			CSSC	California Species of Special Concern	
FPE/T		ederal listing as endangered or the	reatened	SP	State Fully Protected	
FC	Federal Candidate			CNPS 1B	Plants rare, threatened, or endangered in California and	
FSS	USFS Sensitive Species			CNPS 2	Plants rare, threatened, or endangered in California, but	more common elsewhere
BLMSS	BLM Sensitive Species			CRPR	California Rare Plant Rank 1A-4	
SE	State Endangered			FSMIS	USFS Management Indicator Species	
ST	State Threatened	ree i i i		BLMMIS	BLM Management Indicator Species	
SPE/T	Species proposed for st	tate listing as endangered or threa	atened	SLC	Species of Local Concern and any other the Applicant h in the HMP	as determined shall be included

²Potential for occurrence could be based upon presence or absence of suitable habitat, incidental observations, and/or survey results.

- surveys have shown that the species' habitat does not occur in or near any OHV Recreation area
- · potential habitat exists, but surveys to protocol have not detected the species
- there is no overlap in time between OHV Recreation and species occurrence (or sensitivity such as nesting)
- risk factors—there are no known risk factors for the species that are related to OHV Recreation (examples of risk factors for species include turbidity, sedimentation of spawning gravels for fish, increase in water temperature [for fish and amphibians], loss of snags [for cavity nesters], elimination/disturbance of hollow logs as denning sites [for fur bearers])
- the species has not been seen in the area in a long time (e.g., since 1952)

³Examples of reasons to exclude species from the HMP include:

SECTION III. MAP(S) OF PROJECT AREA WITH SPECIES AND/OR HABITAT ADDRESSED BY THE HMP

Applicants must include maps for all species and/or habitats addressed in the HMP (i.e., where YES is the answer to the question in the fifth column of Table 2). The map(s) should illustrate the spatial relationship between special-status species, Project activities, and OHV Recreation. If the Applicant does not include a map showing each species and/or habitat as described above, provide an explanation for the omission (e.g., lack of funds, mapping next year). Maps must include the following:

- Identification of Project activities and OHV Recreation within the Application Project Area (e.g., Roads, trails, and areas open for OHV Recreation) and the location of special-status species and/or their habitats. If specific features (e.g., streams, specific campgrounds) are discussed in the HMP, they shall be shown on the maps. Detailed location information that might jeopardize special-status species does not need to be included. The Applicant may use circles or other symbols to indicate relative locations.
- Include a north arrow and scale. Reference all maps to a vicinity map of the OHV area or otherwise clearly indicate the location of the area mapped.
- 3. Use the same common/scientific names on the map as are used in Table 2.
- 4. Attach all relevant maps to the HMP. Maps must be in an electronic format, such as JPEG or PDF files. Format maps as a JPEG file. The OHMVR Division accepts foldout maps if they are folded to 8 1/2 x 11 inches or put into a pocket to fit this format.

SECTION IV. MANAGEMENT/MONITORING PROGRAM BY SPECIES AND SENSITIVE HABITAT

Complete Tables 3, 4, and 5 to provide a description of the data, management program, monitoring program, and management review and response process for the species/habitats marked YES in Table 2. Address the information in all three tables for each species, related group of species, or habitat. Terms followed by an asterisk (*) are defined at the end of the instructions for Section IV.

Table 3: Data (Including Baseline Data) and Management Program for Species and/or Sensitive Habitats

Complete Table 3 for each species and habitat marked YES in Table 2. Each column must be filled out for each species/habitat.

<u>Species/Habitat</u> – List all species/habitats marked YES in Table 2. Similar species/habitats may be grouped, but all species/habitats marked YES in Table 2 must be clearly addressed.

<u>Known Information</u> – Summarize relevant information known about each species and/or sensitive habitat (e.g., general location, population size, and use of the area as breeding and foraging).

<u>Methodology</u> – Summarize methodology used to obtain known information, including protocols and frequency/intensity of effort.

<u>Concerns/Risks/Uncertainties</u> – Explain how OHV Recreation may be affecting the species or habitat. Describe the concerns and risks (e.g., loss of salmon spawning habitat and riparian vegetation at stream crossings) related to OHV management and describe any uncertainties about potential effects (e.g., dust from OHV Recreation may negatively affect the spawning habitat but the impact, if any is unknown). The concerns/risks drive the management program.

<u>Management Objective(s)</u> – List all management objectives(s) (e.g., keep sediment out of the stream; maintain riparian vegetation at stream crossings) that have been developed to address the identified concern/risk(s) and any identified uncertainties.

<u>Management Action(s)</u> – List all proposed or ongoing management actions (e.g., harden stream crossings; install fence to keep OHVs on designated trails) to meet the objective(s).

<u>Success Criteria</u> – List the success criteria (e.g., no additional sediment in the spawning gravels; no loss of riparian vegetation at stream crossings) that will be used to gauge the effectiveness of each management action.

Table 3. Da	Table 3. Data (Including Baseline Data) and Management Program for Species and/or Sensitive Habitats					
Species/ Habitat	Known Information	Methodology (Used to obtain Known Information)	Concerns/Risks/ Uncertainties	Management Objective(s) (Related to Concerns/Risks/ Uncertainties)	Management Action(s) (to meet Management Objectives)	Success Criteria (to measure results of Management
				<u>Onconditues</u>	<u>Objectives)</u>	Actions)

Table 4: Summary of HMP Monitoring Program

Complete Table 4 for all species/habitats marked YES in Table 2. Each column must be filled out for each species/habitat.

<u>Species/Habitat</u> – List all species/habitats marked YES in Table 2. Species/habitats may be grouped where the same monitoring methodology addresses all such species, but all species/habitats marked YES in Table 2 must be clearly addressed. Where a monitoring methodology addresses all such species, state "All Species."

<u>Change Detection Methodology</u> – <u>"Change Detection Monitoring"</u> is defined as qualitative monitoring to detect change <u>caused by OHV Recreation.</u> Describe how change detection monitoring* will be conducted (e.g., the wildlife checklist, visiting known habitat or populations, before and after photo points).

Effectiveness Monitoring Methodology, Including Triggers – "Effectiveness Monitoring" uses the success criteria to determine if the management actions achieved the desired management objectives; appropriate effectiveness monitoring may ultimately be based on larger-scale monitoring efforts. This methodology is intended to monitor the effectiveness of management actions taken. These actions can include previously installed best management practices (BMPs) or new BMPs needed to fix a problem identified during change detection monitoring. Describe how effectiveness monitoring* will be conducted (i.e., describe how the Applicant will assess whether each management action is successful based on success criteria in Table 3). Include specific triggers for management change.

Identify Any Applicable Validation Monitoring (Focused Studies) – "Validation Monitoring:" uses scientific studies that determine whether the underlying management assumptions are correct (e.g., "Have the appropriate concerns and risks been identified? Does meeting the management objectives ensure that OHV activities are not adversely affecting populations of species x?"). Describe any studies being conducted to determine whether the underlying management assumptions are correct (Validation Monitoring*). Monitoring must relate directly to the project area and species/habitat. Be specific as to applicability. For most projects this column is not applicable.

Table 4. Summary of HMP	Monitoring Program		
Species/ Habitat	Change Detection Methodology	Effectiveness Monitoring Methodology, Including Triggers	Identify any Applicable Validation Monitoring (Focused Studies)

Table 5: Management Review and Response; Adaptive Management

Table 5 describes what the Applicant plans to do with monitoring data. Address each monitoring methodology listed in Table 4.

Monitoring Methodology – List each monitoring methodology. Use a separate row for each monitoring methodology. List each monitoring methodology that was included in Table 4, Column 2 (Change Detection Methodology), Column 3 (Effectiveness Monitoring), and Column 4 (Validation Monitoring, if applicable). Use a separate row for each monitoring methodology. Species can be combined if methods are the same for more than one species (e.g., serpentine plants, bats, etc.).

<u>How Monitoring Information Will Inform Management</u> – Describe how the Applicant will use its monitoring information to make any necessary management changes.

<u>How Data Will Be Analyzed</u> – Describe how the data will be analyzed to determine if management objectives from Table 3 are being met.

<u>Management Response to Identified Triggers</u> – Describe the management responses to the identified triggers listed in Table 4, <u>Column 3</u>.

Who Will Plan Management Response - Describe the staff involved in planning a management response.

Table 5. Management Review and Response; Adaptive Management				
Monitoring Methodology	How Monitoring Information will Inform Management	How Data Will Be Analyzed	Management Response to Identified Triggers	Who Will Plan Management Response

HMP DEFINITIONS for Section IV:

"Change Detection Monitoring:" Qualitative monitoring to detect change caused by OHV Recreation.

"Effectiveness Monitoring:" Uses the success criteria to determine if the management actions achieved the desired management objectives; appropriate effectiveness monitoring may ultimately be based on larger-scale monitoring efforts.

"Validation Monitoring:" Scientific studies that determine whether the underlying management assumptions are correct (e.g., "Have the appropriate concerns and risks been identified? Does meeting the management objectives ensure that OHV activities are not adversely affecting populations of species x?").

SECTION V. PREVIOUS YEAR'S MONITORING RESULTS AND MANAGEMENT ACTIONS BASED ON MONITORING RESULTS

Summarize the previous year's monitoring accomplishments and results in Table 6.

<u>Monitoring Accomplishments</u> – Summarize each monitoring action that was implemented <u>under the previous year's HMP</u>. <u>Discussion must be directly related to each monitoring method listed in Table 5, Column 1 of the previous year's HMP</u>.

<u>Results</u> – Summarize the results of each monitoring accomplishment. <u>Applicants are encouraged to attach specific</u> monitoring reports and/or checklists that provide more details.

<u>Were Objectives and Success Criteria Achieved?</u> – Describe whether management actions achieved the objectives and success criteria in the previous year's HMP. <u>Make sure to specifically address the objectives listed in Table 3, Column 5, and the success criteria listed in Table 3, Column 7 of the previous year's HMP.</u>

Applicants must keep the detailed monitoring results on file for reference. The results must be made available to the OHMVR Division upon request.

Table 6. Previous Year's Monitoring Results		
Monitoring Accomplishments	Results	Were Objectives and Success Criteria Achieved?

Table 7: Management Actions Based on Monitoring Results

Use Table 7 to summarize the management actions taken and/or planned based on the monitoring results of the previous year.

<u>Management Actions</u> – Identify all the management actions taken or planned based on the monitoring results of the previous year. Management actions must be listed for each situation in Table 6 for which the objectives and success criteria were not achieved. <u>Management actions could be those listed in Table 3, Column 6, or other actions specific to the issue.</u>

Species/Habitat – List the species/habitats for which each management action was taken and/or planned.

Date Completed or Planned – Identify the date the action item was accomplished or is planned to be accomplished.

<u>Changes Needed to HMP</u> – Describe how the Applicant is going to change its HMP, including changes to monitoring, to allow the Applicant to better meet success criteria or objectives.

Table 7. Management Actions Based on Monitoring Results			
Management		Date Completed or	
Actions	Species/ Habitat	Planned	Changes Needed to HMP

Table 8: Management Actions Taken in Response to HMP-related Public Concerns

<u>Concern Raised by Public</u> – Describe any HMP-related concerns raised by the public.

Actions Taken to Address the Concern - Describe actions taken to address the concern.

Table 8. Management Actions Taken in Response to HMP-related Public Concerns		
Concern Raised by Public	Actions Taken to Address the Concern	

Evaluation Criteria General Criteria

Applicant:	Application Year:	
------------	-------------------	--

GENERAL CRITERIA

The general criteria items are to be answered for the entire area managed by the Applicant on which OHV Recreation is allowed. Non-Land Manager Applicants who are required to complete the general criteria shall cooperate with the Land Manager to obtain the information necessary to complete the general criteria section of the Application. It is extremely important for Applicants to reference factual documentation to support their responses in the Application. Points will be awarded only for responses that are supported by published information, facts, statistics, or other published factual documentation with citations referenced when requested.

most appropriate.) Yes – Applicants shall respond to all items except for 14 No – Applicants shall only respond to items 1, 11, 12, 13 and 14
No – Applicants shall only respond to items 1, 11, 12, 13 and 14
OHV Visitor Opportunity Summary
a. Data Period: Identify the most recent twelve month period for which the Land Manager
has accurate OHV Opportunity and visitation data:
☐ N/A – No legal OHV riding opportunity (skip to item 2)
Starting (Month/Year) Ending (Month/Year)
b. Off-Highway Vehicle Opportunity Ratio (OHV Ratio) opportunity
Respond relative to the twelve month data period identified in Section (a).
i. Months of OHV Opportunity (OHV Months)
Enter the number of months during the data period that OHV
Opportunity was available.
ii. Total Miles Of Routes Available For OHV Recreation
iii. Total Acres Of Open Riding Available For OHV Recreation
iv. OHV Visitation (visitor days)
Every visitor that spends a day or a portion thereof engaged in OHV Recreation in legal riding areas is considered one visitor day. Use the
most recently published, official, publically available, completed
document that indicates OHV visitation data.
Datio of OUV/ Visitation/OUV/ Opportunity:
v. Ratio of OHV Visitation/OHV Opportunity: OHV visitation / (acres of open area+ miles of routes) =

	OHV ratio
c. R	eference source documents here:
Prov	ride name and date of reference document Reference Document:
	isitor Opportunity Ratio (V/O Ratio) = OHV Ratio x OHV Months / 12
V/O	Ratio =
Sco	ring: V/O Ratios will be compared for all Applicants
	The top fifth receives (5 points)
	The second fifth receives (4 points)
	The third fifth receives (3 points)
	The fourth fifth receives (2 points) The last fifth receives (1 point)
	The last filtit receives (1 point)
2. 0	Quality of OHV Opportunity
Che	eck all that apply to the Land Manager's OHV program
	Map with OHV Recreation opportunities clearly shown is available for distribution, at no
	cost (2 points)
	Map with OHV Recreation opportunities clearly shown is available on the Land
	Manager's website (2 points)
	Map indicates relative difficulty of each OHV trail (2 points)
	Map indicates appropriate OHV use type (ATV, dirt bike, 4x4, OSV, etc.) (2 points)
	At least fifty percent of the staging areas include support facilities (restrooms, picnic tables, trash cans, shade structures) (2 points)
\Box	Majority of trail intersections are signed with information such as: trail names,
	directional signs, relative difficulty, mileage to next feature (2 points)
	Land Manager has no legal OHV riding opportunity (No points)
3. V	ariety of OHV Opportunity
For	items a and b, check one most appropriate for the Land Manager's OHV program
	kill levels (e.g., beginner, intermediate, advanced) indicated by publicly available maps
or s	ignage marking trails with relative difficulty
	3 or more skill levels (5 points)
	2 skill levels (3 points)
	1 skill level (1 point)
	Land Manager has no legal OHV riding opportunity (No points)
b. T	ype of OHV Opportunity (ATV, dirt bike, 4x4, OSV, RUV, Sand Rail/Dune Buggy)
H	Opportunities for 3 or more vehicle types (6 points)
	Opportunities for 2 vehicle types (3 points)
	Opportunity for only 1 vehicle type (1 point) Land Manager has no legal OHV riding opportunity (No points)
1 1 1	LEGIU MAHAUSI HAS IIU ISUAI VITV HUIHU UUUUHUHIIV UNU DUHIISI

4. Agency contribution						
Cost of OHV Program for Land Manager's most recent						
complete fiscal year (not to include Indirect Costs). If response						
is \$0, then no points. Go to item #5:						
% Funded by OHV Trust Fund (do not include in-lieu funds):						
No OHV Trust Funds were used (6 points)						
10% or less of the program cost was from OHV Trust Fund (4 points)						
11% to 25% of the program cost was from OHV Trust Fund (3 points)						
26% to 50% of the program cost was from OHV Trust Fund (1 point)						
☐ More than 50% of the program cost was from OHV Trust Fund (No points)						
Provide name and date of reference document Reference Document:						
5. For Applicant's OHV grant Projects which reached the end of the Project performance						
period within the last two years, the percentage of all Projects closed out in accordance with						
Program regulations: deliverables accomplished: (First time Applicants will receive 2 points,						
Applicants with active Grant Project(s) which have not reached the end of the Project performance period, and						
past Applicants with no active Grant Projects within the last two years, will receive 2 points.)						
100% of Deliverable accomplished Projects closed out (510 points)						
75% to 99% of Deliverables accomplished Projects closed out (35 points)						
Less than 75% of Deliverables accomplished Projects closed out (No points)						
First time Applicants, Applicants with active Project(s) which have not reached the end						
of the Project performance period, and past Applicants with no active Projects within						
the last two years (4 points)						

6. [For Division use only] In the previous year the <u>Applicant</u> has been responsive and communicated effectively with the assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone, email or personal visit. (3 points) (First time applicants and past applicants with no active Grant projects within the last two years, will receive 2 points)

List all Projects that have reached the end of the performance period in the last two years:

7 December of OUN transport				
7. Prevention of OHV trespass				
a. Is site a completely fenced facility such that OHV trespass into neighboring properties				
and/or closed areas is prevented?				
No (answer items b and c)				
Yes (10 points, explain and then skip to item 8)				
Explain Provide a detailed explanation for the "Yes" response:				
b. The majority of OHV Opportunity areas are patrolled (Check the one most appropriate)				
At least 5 days per week (5 points)				
At least once per week (3 points)				
At least once per month (1 point)				
Less than once per month (No points)				
Explain Provide a detailed explanation of patrol efforts (e.g., frequency of patrol, patrol personnel,				
percent of lands covered by patrols):				
c. Measures to prevent OHV trespass into neighboring properties and/or closed areas				
(Check all that apply)				
Barriers and/or signing are used to prevent OHV trespass into neighboring properties				
and/or closed areas (3 points)				
Education programs, maps and/or brochures provided to the public address OHV				
trespass, including respect for private property (2 points)				
Explain Provide a detailed explanation of measures utilized to prevent OHV trespass into				
neighboring properties and/or closed areas:				

811. Natural and Cultural Resources
a. Is the Land Manager's OHV area a completely fenced track facility with little or no native
vegetation?
No (answer item b)
Yes (5 points, explain and then skip to item 12 9)
Explain Provide a detailed explanation for the "Yes" response:
b. Resource Management Information System
Does the Land Manager maintain a management information system managed by qualified
environmental staff that identifies and monitors the impacts of the OHV activity and contains
at least the following:
Ongoing survey/inventory of species;
 Ongoing survey/inventory of archeological sites;
 Biological monitoring that measures changes in populations;
 Components that evaluate the effects of OHV recreation and related activity on the
species;
 Recommendations for improvement in species management;
 Strategies to respond to changing conditions that affect the survival or reproduction
of species?
No (No points)
Yes (5 points)
Name and date of reference Reference document:
129. Soil management
a. Land Manager has developed a systematic methodology for evaluating soil conditions of
its OHV Opportunities?
□ No (No points)
Yes (5 points)
Explain Provide a detailed explanation for the "Yes" response:
b. Land Manager has developed methods to address soil issues?
□ No (No points)
Yes (5 points)
Explain Provide a detailed explanation for the "Yes" response:
c. Land Manager performs soil monitoring: (Check the one most appropriate)
Monthly (3 points)
After major rain events (2 points)

1310. Sound Level Testing				
	The Applicant or Land Manager conducts, or causes to be conducted, sound level testing on individual off highway motor vehicles: (Check only one if applicable)			
	On most (50% or more) holidays and weekends (4 points)			
	At least 25% but less than 50% of holidays and weekends (2 points)			
	Less than 25% of holidays and weekends (No points)			
Des	scribe Provide a detailed description of the sound testing program:			

811. OHV Education	
a. Education materials available onsite: (Check all that apply)	
Free literature is provided to visitors describing safe and responsible OHV recreational practices. (5 points)	al
Bulletin boards, signs or kiosks, at the majority of staging areas, trailheads, or other areas where the public gathers provide information concerning safe and responsible OHV Recreation. (5 points)	
Applicant or Land Manager provides no educational materials. (No points)	
Describe Provide a detailed explanation of Land Manager's onsite education efforts relative to item a.:	n
b. Applicant or Land Manager provides onsite formal programs, educational talks, school field trips, etc. to the public to educate them on safe and responsible OHV recreational practices. Count only organized, scheduled events; do not include routine visitor contacts: (Check the one most appropriate)	
50 or more per year (3 points)	
20 to 49 times per year (2 point)	
5 to 19 times per year (1 point))	
Less than 5 times per year (No points)	
Describe Provide a detailed explanation of Land Manager's onsite education efforts relative to item b.:	n
c. When Facility is open, staff are available at trailheads, visitor centers and/or entrance stations to provide information on safe and responsible OHV use: (Check the one most appropriate)	
Daily (5 points)	
On all weekends (4 points)	
On the majority of weekends (2 points)	
On major holidays (1 point)	
None of the above (No points)	<u> </u>
Describe-Provide a detailed explanation of Land Manager's onsite education efforts relative to iten c.:	11
d. ATV Safety Institute and/or Motorcycle Safety Foundation approved training courses are provided to the public: (Check the one most appropriate)	е
At least 30 times per year (5 points)	
18-29 times per year (3 points)	
4-17 times per year (1 point)	
Less than 4 times per year (No points) Describe Provide a detailed explanation of Land Manager's onsite education efforts relative to item	
d.:	11

912. Website					
a. OHV outreach efforts are accomplished the	ırou	ıg	h the Applicant or Land Manager's		
website:					
No (skip to question 10 13)					
Yes (provide URL address and answer	iten	n	b)		
Provide URL address:					
b. The Applicant or Land Manager's website (Check all that apply)	COI	nt	ains the following OHV related items:		
Map to location			Information on responsible riding		
Hours of operation			Map of Facilities		
Safety information			Fee schedule		
☐ Visitor facilities			Seasonal restrictions		
Contact information			Link to Division Website		
News releases			Law enforcement contact information		
Scoring: 1 point each up to a maximum of 5	poi	nt	S.		
4013. OHV Outreach					
Check all forms of OHV outreach the Applica	ant '	ut	tilizes:		
Billboards			Social media		
☐ CDs and/or DVDs			Television		
Community meetings			Parades		
OHV dealers			Radio		
Fairs			Programs at schools		
News releases			Other (specify)		
Scoring: 1 point each up to a maximum of 3 points.					
14. Sustaining OHV Recreation					
The Applicant or Land Manager sustains OF	łV F	\Re	ecreation in the following ways:		
(Check all that apply)					
1	s Wi	ith	agencies that manage OHV Opportunities		
(2 points)					
Has established an OHV Commission or stakeholder group dedicated to sustaining					
OHV Opportunities (2 points)					
Has adopted a general plan, management plan, ordinance, or resolution supporting OHV Recreation (2 points)					
Has secured land to be developed for C	Has secured land to be developed for OHV Recreation (2 points)				
Has created a special fund to set aside funding to sustain OHV Recreation (2 points)					
Explain Provide a detailed explanation of the	eff	fo	rts to sustain OHV Recreation:		

Evaluation Criteria Acquisition Projects

Applicant:	Application Year	
Project Name	Project Number (Division Only)	
	(Division Only)	

ACQUISITION PROJECT CRITERIA

It is extremely important for Applicants to reference factual documentation to support their responses in the Application. Points will be awarded only for responses that are supported by published information, facts, statistics, or other published factual documentation with citations referenced when requested.

1. As calculated on the Project Cost Estimate, the percentage of the cost of the Project
covered by the Applicant is: (Check the one most appropriate)
76% or more (10 points)
51% - 75% (5 points)
26% - 50% (3 points)
25% (Match minimum) (No points)
2. Natural and Cultural Resources
a. Species
Enter the number of special-status species that are known to occur in the Project Area
Number of special-status species
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)
No special-status species occur in Project Area (5 points)
One to five special-status species occur in Project area (3 points)
Six to ten special-status species in Project area (2 points)
More than ten special-status species occur in Project area (No points)
Analysis has not been completed/unknown (No points)
b. Habitat
Potential effects on special-status species habitat
No special-status species habitat is known to occur in the Project Area.
Habitat for (enter number of species) special-status species is known to occur in
Project Area.
Reference document: Provide name and date of reference document:
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate)
No special-status species habitat is known to occur in the Project area (5 points)
Habitat for one to five special-status species is known to occur in Project area (3 points)
Habitat for six to ten special-status species is known to occur in Project area (2 points)
Habitat for more than ten special-status species is known to occur in Project area (No

	points)						
	Analysis has not been completed/unknown (No points)						
	cultural Resources						
Sco	Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate.)						
	Project would provide additional protection to cultural sites (5 points)						
	Project area has no known cultural sites (4 points)						
	Identified cultural sites in the Project area will not be affected (3 points)						
	Project impacts to cultural sites will be mitigated (No points)						
	Analysis has not been completed/unknown (No points)						
	Project has unavoidable detrimental impacts to cultural resources						
				vill be returned to Applicant without further consideration)			
Ref	<u>erence document</u> : <u>Provide n</u>	am	ne	and date of reference document:			
2 [Duningst will be maditable. A mulin		. 17 _				
3. I				OHV recreation program by: (Check all that apply)			
	points)	Cu	VIL	y of trail system by acquiring linkage/in-holdings (10			
	Providing additional OHV ()n	nc	rtunity (2 points)			
				s that can use the OHV Opportunity (2 points)			
				land owners adjacent to the proposed acquisition from			
				and property damage (2 points)			
	Resolving conflict related to						
Ex	<u> </u>			on for each statement that was checked:			
'							
Sco	oring: Maximum of 14 points	}					
				development and operation cost will be:			
(Cr	neck the one most appropriate	_		(F into)			
	Applicant's operational bud			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	Volunteer support and/or d			, , ,			
	Combination of OHV Trust Funds and operational budget (3 points)						
Other Grant funding (2 points)							
OHV Trust Funds (No points)							
Explain checked statement: Provide a detailed explanation:							
				at provide motorized access to the following			
nor	nmotorized recreation opport	un	<u>iti</u>				
	Camping	Ļ		Birding			
	Hiking	Ļ	4	Equestrian trails			
	Fishing	Ļ	<u> </u>	Rock Climbing			
	Hunting		1	Other (Specify)			

Provide a detailed explanation:
Coordings 2 points cook up to a maximum of 6 points
Scoring: 2 points each, up to a maximum of 6 points
6. The Project was developed with public input prior to the preliminary Application filing
deadline. Identify date(s) of meetings and participants. Do not include internal agency
meetings or meetings that occurred more than 12 months prior to filing the preliminary
Application. Public input employed the following: (Check all that apply)
The Applicant initiated and conducted publicly Publicly noticed meeting(s) with the
general public to discuss Project (1 point)
Conference call(s) with interested parties
The Applicant had meeting(s) Meeting(s) with multiple distinct stakeholders (1 point)
Provide a detailed explanation for each statement that was checked: Explain each statement
that was checked and identify the dates of the meetings or calls:
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Scoring: 1 point each, up to a maximum of 2 points

Evaluation Criteria Development Projects

Applicant:	Application Year	
Project Name	Project Number	
1 Toject Name	(Division Only)	

DEVELOPMENT PROJECT CRITERIA

It is extremely important for Applicants to reference factual documentation to support their responses in the Application. Points will be awarded only for responses that are supported by published information, facts, statistics, or other published factual documentation with citations referenced when requested.

1. As as a lowest of an the Drainet Coat Estimate, the negrountage of the Drainet coats asyoned					
1. As calculated on the Project Cost Estimate, the percentage of the Project costs covered by the Applicant is: (Check the one most appropriate)					
76% or more (10 points)					
51% - 75% (5 points)					
26% - 50% (3 points)					
25% (Match minimum) (No points)					
2070 (Material Minimum) (140 points)					
2. Natural and Cultural Resources					
a. Species					
Enter the number of special-status species that are known to occur in the Project Area					
Number of special-status species					
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate)					
No special-status species occur in Project area (5 points)					
One to five special-status species occur in Project area (3 points)					
Six to ten special-status species in Project area (2 points)					
More than ten special-status species occur in Project area (No points)					
Analysis has not been completed/unknown (No points)					
b. Habitat					
Potential Effects on special-status species habitat					
No special-status species habitat is known to occur in the Project Area.					
Habitat for (enter number of species) special-status species is known to occur in					
Project Area.					
Reference document: Provide name and date of reference document:					
Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate)					
No special-status species habitat is known to occur in the Project Area (5 points)					
Habitat for one to five special-status species is known to occur in Project Area (3 points)					
Habitat for six to ten special-status species is known to occur in Project Area (2 points)					
Habitat for more than ten special-status species is known to occur in Project Area (No					

	1 . \					
	points)					
	Analysis has not been completed/unknown (No points)					
c. Cultural Resources						
Sco	Scoring: (Check the one most appropriate)					
	Project would provide additional protection to cultural sites (5 points)					
	Project area has no known cultural sites (4 points)					
	Identified cultural sites in the Project Area will not be affected (3 points)					
	Project impacts to cultural sites will be mitigated (No points)					
	Analysis has not l	bee	n	completed/unknown (No points)		
	Project has unavo	oida	ab	e detrimental impacts to cultural resources		
	(No points, Project	ct a	pp	lication will be returned to Applicant without further consideration)		
Refe	erence document:	Pro	ΟV	de name and date of reference document:		
<u>133</u> .		Are	ea	contain Riparian/Wetland issues?		
	No (10 points)					
	Yes (if yes – resp					
				wing techniques to prevent damage to, or restore		
Ripa				neck all that apply)		
	Re-routes to dive	rt tı	rai	Is away from Riparian/Wetlands areas (2 points)		
Well documented evaluation and monitoring strategies (list reference document) (2						
	points)					
	Provide bridges in	nste	ea	d of wet crossings (2 points)		
	Provide sanitary f	faci	ilit	es (2 points)		
П	Restrict public ve	hic	ula	ar access in Riparian/Wetland areas by placing physical barriers		
	(e.g., gates, fences, bollard, boulders) (2 points)					
Refe				vide name and date for reference document(s):		
		,				
3/1 -	34. The Project is designed to provide for diversified OHV use: (Check all that apply)					
<u>₹4.</u>	ATV	gne	<u>-u</u>	4X4		
+	M.C. Motorcycle	┢	<u>.</u> 1	Recreation Utility Vehicle (RUV)/Side-by-side		
H	Snowmobile	┢	1	Dune buggy, rail		
H	Other (Specify)		1	Dulle buggy, fall		
Doc	\	tail	00	explanation for the nature of the facilities for each item checked		
Describe Provide a detailed explanation for the nature of the facilities for each item checked above:						
above.						
Sco	ring: 1 point each	un	to	a maximum of 6 points		

45. Is there a publicly reviewed and adopted plan that supports the need for the Project?
No (No points)
☐ Yes (5 points)
Provide name and date of Identify plan:
56. The Project makes substantial use of recycled content building materials, meaning at
least 50% of the construction materials contain recycled content, such as:
Materials diverted from landfills
Recycled plastic lumber
Fly ash content concrete
No (No points)
Yes (5 points)
Explain Provide a detailed explanation for the "Yes" response:
Explain in the restance explanation for the
67. The Project makes substantial use of sustainable technologies, meaning at least 50% of
the project activities use sustainable technologies, such as:
 Alternative fuel vehicles and equipment Repaying with permeable asphalt
Renewable energy sources (e.g., solar, wind)
 Low volatile organic compound emission materials (e.g., paint, sealants, carpet)
 Practices that meet U.S. Green Building Council LEED Silver standard
Low-flow plumbing fixtures
Water efficient landscaping
Utilizing local building materials
No (No points)
Yes (4 points)
Explain Provide a detailed explanation for the "Yes" response:
78. The Project is designed to sustain existing OHV Recreation: (Check the one most
appropriate)
Project directly improves or sustains existing OHV Opportunity (3 points)
Project improves support facilities associated with existing OHV Opportunity (2 points)
☐ Project involves construction of a facility associated with new OHV Opportunity (No
points)
None of the above (No points)

8 <u>9</u> . The Project improves for		
	llowir	ng nonmotorized recreation opportunities: (Check all that
apply)		
Camping Camping	Щ	Birding
Hiking		Equestrian trails
Fishing		Rock Climbing
Hunting		Other (Specify)
Scoring: 2 points each, up	to a	maximum of 6 points
		d with public input prior to the preliminary Application filing
		etings and participants. Do not include internal agency
		rred more than 12 months prior to filing the preliminary
		ved the following: (Check all that apply)
		conducted publicly Publicly noticed meeting(s) with the
general public to discu		
Conference call(s) with		
		(s) Meeting(s) with multiple distinct stakeholders (1 point)
-		or each statement that was checked: Explain each statement
that was checked and iden	tify th	ne dates of the meetings or calls:
Scoring: 1 point each, up to	o a m	naximum of 2 points
		rtnerships to successfully accomplish the Project. <u>Identify</u>
		ps or organizations that will <u>actively</u> participate in the Project.
		y unit of the OHMVR Division, subcontractors, or any
	inis C	OHV Grant or Cooperative Agreement. (Check the one most
appropriate)		
4 or more (4 points)		
2 to 3 (2 points)		
1 (1 point)		
None (No points)		
	ion(s) <u>separately</u> and explain <u>provide a detailed explanation for</u>
how each partner(s) will pa	articip	
how each partner(s) will pa	articip	
		vate in the project:
4112. Primary funding sou	rce fo	or future operational costs associated with the Project will be:
4412. Primary funding sou (Check the one most appro	rce fo	or future operational costs associated with the Project will be:
4112. Primary funding sou	rce fo	or future operational costs associated with the Project will be:
4412. Primary funding sou (Check the one most appro	rce fo	or future operational costs associated with the Project will be: te) Iget (5 points)
4112. Primary funding sour (Check the one most approximately Applicant's operational Volunteer support and	rce foo opriate If buc	or future operational costs associated with the Project will be: te) Iget (5 points)
1112. Primary funding sour (Check the one most approximate Applicant's operational Volunteer support and Combination of OHV	rce for priate of the formal o	or future operational costs associated with the Project will be: lee) lget (5 points) onations (34 points) Funds and operational budget (3 points)
4112. Primary funding sour (Check the one most approximately Applicant's operational Volunteer support and	rce fo opriati Il bud I/or d Trust 2 poir	pate in the project: or future operational costs associated with the Project will be: te) lget (5 points) onations (34 points) Funds and operational budget (3 points) ints)

1213. Offsite Impacts			
Offsite impacts relative to the Project Area (e.g., sound, fugitive dust, runoff) will be have			
been addressed:			
□ No (No points)			
Yes (5 points)			
Explain Provide a detailed explanation for a "Yes" response:			

Evaluation Criteria Education and Safety Program Projects

Applicant:	Application Year	
Project	Project Number	
Name	(Division Only)	

EDUCATION AND SAFETY CRITERIA

It is extremely important for Applicants to reference factual documentation to support their responses in the Application. Points will be awarded only for responses that are supported by published information, facts, statistics, or other published factual documentation with citations referenced when requested.

The	Applicant is applying for the following type of Project: (Check the one most appropriate.)					
	Education – Applicants shall only respond to items 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 and 11.					
	Safety – Applicants shall only respond to items 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 12, 13, 14, and 15					
	s calculated on the Project Cost Estimate, the percentage of the cost of the Project					
COVE	ered by the Applicant is: (Check the one most appropriate)					
	76% or more (10 points)					
	51% - 75% (5 points)					
	26% - 50% (2 points)					
	25% (Match minimum) (No points)					
	2. For Applicant's OHV Grant Projects which reached the end of the Project performance					
	od within the last two years, the percentage of all Projects closed out in accordance with					
	Program regulations deliverables accomplished: (First time Applicants will receive 2 points,					
	Applicants with active Grant Project(s) which have not reached the end of the Project performance period, and					
past	Applicants with no active Grant Projects within the last two years, will receive 2 points.)					
	100% of Deliverable accomplished Projects closed out (510 points)					
	75% to 99% of Deliverables accomplished <u>Projects closed out</u> (35 points)					
	Less than 75% of Deliverables accomplished <u>Projects closed out</u> (No points)					
	First time Applicants, Applicants with active Project(s) which have not reached the end					
	of the Project performance period, and past Applicants with no active Projects within					
	the last two years. (4 points)					
List	List all Projects that have reached the end of the performance period:					

3. [For Division use only] In the previous year the <u>Applicant</u> has been responsive and communicated effectively with their assigned OHMVR Grant Administrator by phone, email or personal visit. (3 points) (First time Applicants and past Applicants with no active Grant Projects within the last two years, will receive 2 points)

4. The Project will utilize partnerships to successfully accomplish the Project. <u>Identify</u> The						
the number of partner groups or organizations that will actively participate in the Project. are:						
Partners cannot include any unit of the OHMVR Division, subcontractors, or any participants						
being paid by this OHV Grant or Cooperative Agreement. (Check the one most appropriate.)						
4 or more (4 points)						
2 to 3 (2 points)						
1 (1 point)						
None (No points)						
List <u>each</u> partner organization(s) <u>separately</u> and explain <u>provide a detailed explanation for</u>						
how each partner(s) will participate in the project:						
5. The Project addresses the following types of OHV Recreation: (Check all that apply.)						
☐ ATV (1 point) ☐ 4X4 (1 point)						
M.C. Motorcycle (1 point) RUV (Recreation Utility Vehicle)/Side-by-side (1 point)						
☐ Snowmobile (1 point) ☐ Dune buggy, rail (1 point)						
Other (specify): (1 point)						
6. The Project was developed with public input prior to the preliminary Application filing						
deadline. Identify date(s) of meetings and participants. Do not include internal agency						
meetings or meetings that occurred more than 12 months prior to filing the preliminary						
Application. Public input employed the following: (Check all that apply)						
The Applicant initiated and conducted publicly Publicly noticed meeting(s) with the						
general public to discuss Project (1 point) Conference call(s) with interested parties						
The Applicant had meeting(s) Meeting(s) with multiple distinct stakeholders (1 point)						
Explain each statement that was checked and identify the dates of the meetings or calls:						
Provide a detailed explanation for each statement that was checked:						
Flovide a detailed explanation for each statement that was checked.						
Scoring: 1 point each, up to a maximum of 2 points						
7. The Project incorporates the following, clearly identifiable and/or measurable, elements: (Check all that apply)						
Process of researching issues and audience (2 points)						
Objectives (2 points)						
Testing process to ensure actions are effective (2 points)						
Plan to implement the Project (2 points)						
Evaluation and feedback of the process (2 points)						
Explain each statement that was checked: Provide a detailed explanation for each statement						
that was checked:						

810. The Project will utilize the following methods of education: (Check all that apply)								
	Hands on learning		<u> </u>	Social media				
	Formal class setting			Outreach booths/Exhibits				
	Printed media (brochures, panels, etc.)			CDs/DVDs				
	Internet classes			Interpretive talks, rides, events				
	Advertising (of message, not classes)			Audio <u>/video</u> programs				
	Self-guided trails			Other (Specify)				
state	<u>ement:</u>			de a detailed explanation for each checked				
Sco	ring: 2 point each up to a maximum of 1	14	ł po	ints.				
	Total number of times individuals are ex hods identified in Question <u>8</u> 10: (Check	-		ed to the message through educational one most appropriate.)				
	Greater than 10,000 (4 points)							
	1,000 to 10,000 (3 points)							
	100 to 1,000 (2 points)							
20 to 100 (1 point)								
	0 to 20 (No points)							
Explain the statement checked: Provide a detailed explanation for the quantity checked:								
thro				ure to the Project's message or training ion 810: (Check the one item of highest point				
	Greater than 2 hours (4 points)							
	1 hour to 2 hours (3 points)							
	5 minutes to less than 1 hour (2 points	s)						
	1 minute to less than 5 minutes (A Project for maps will fall under this category) (1 point)							
	Less than 1 minute (No points)							
Exp	Explain the statement checked: Provide a detailed explanation for the checked statement:							

11. The Project provides direct support for delivery of ATV Safety Institute and/or Motorcycle Safety Foundation training to the public. (Check the one most appropriate.)							
	No (No points)						
	Yes (2 points)						
Exp	Explain Provide a detailed explanation for the "Yes" response:						
12.	The majority of personnel utilized in the	P	roj	ect are trained to the following level:			
	Emergency Medical Technician level, of	or	· hiç	gher (5 points)			
	First Responder level (2 points)						
	First Aid and CPR (1 points)						
	No training (No points)						
13.	The Project involves search and rescue	S	taff	f that is: (Check the one most appropriate)			
	All volunteer (5 points)						
	A majority of volunteers with some paid	t	sta	ff (4 points)			
	Paid staff working regular hours(non-or						
	Paid staff working overtime shifts (No p)(oint	s)			
14.	The Project will have the majority of pers	S	onn	nel trained in the following areas: (Check all			
	apply)			3 (
	Radio communication			Tracking skills			
	Avalanche rescue	_[Navigation training			
	Swift water rescue ATV certification						
	Dog handling	_[Motorcycle certification			
	Rope skills	ļ	<u> </u>	4 x 4/Off-Road training			
Wilderness search and rescue Other (Specify)				\ /			
Sco	Scoring: 2 points each up to a maximum of 16 points.						
15. The Applicant has documented experience performing OHV search and rescue							
operations and providing medical aid to OHV operators. In the prior calendar year the							
App	licant has performed and documented:						
	50 Medicals or search and rescue missions in support of OHV recreation. (8 points)						
	30 Medicals or search and rescue miss	si	ons	s in support of OHV recreation. (5 points)			
	10 Medicals or search and rescue miss	si	ons	s in support of OHV recreation. (3 points)			
	0 Medicals or search and rescue missions in support of OHV recreation. (0 points)						
Prov	Provide a detailed explanation for the checked statement:						

Evaluation Criteria Ground Operation Projects

Applicant:		Application Year				
Project Name		Project Number				
1 Toject Name		(Division Only)				
	GROUND OPERATIONS PROJECT CRI	<u>TERIA</u>				
their responses in supported by public	It is extremely important for Applicants to reference factual documentation to support their responses in the Application. Points will be awarded only for responses that are supported by published information, facts, statistics, or other published factual documentation with citations referenced when requested.					
	on the Project Cost Estimate, the percentage		Project			
	pplicant is: (Check the one most appropriate	€)				
51% - 75%	e (10 points)					
26% - 50%	(5 points)					
	(2 points) minimum) (No points)					
25 /6 (IVIAICII	minimum) (No points)					
2. Failure to comp	plete the Project would result in: (Check all t	that apply)				
Loss of OH\	/ Opportunity (6 points)	,				
☐ Negative im	pact to cultural sites (2 points)					
	special-status species or other sensitive hab	oitat (2 points)				
×	Potential trespass (2 points)					

3. T	3. The Project would sustain OHV Opportunity by: (Check all that apply)				
	Maintaining trail or road tread (5 points)				
	Installing or repairing erosion control features (3 points)				
	Providing traffic control and/or educational signage (3 points)				
	Maintaining multi use (ATV, Dirt Bikes, 4x4, etc) (1 point)				
	Providing varied levels of riding difficulty (1 point)				
Exp	lain each statement that was checked:				

Explain Provide a detailed explanation for each statement that was checked:

Additional damage to Facilities (1 point)

Scoring: Maximum of 8 points

4. The Project was developed with public input prior to the preliminary Application filing
deadline. Identify date(s) of meetings and participants. Do not include internal agency
meetings or meetings that occurred more than 12 months prior to filing the preliminary
Application. Public input employed the following: (Check all that apply)
The Applicant initiated and conducted publicly Publicly noticed meeting(s) with the
general public to discuss Project (1 point)
Conference call(s) with interested parties (1 point)
The Applicant had meeting(s) Meeting(s) with multiple distinct stakeholders (1 point)
Explain each statement that was checked and identify the dates of the meetings or calls:
Provide a detailed explanation for each statement that was checked:
Scoring: Maximum of 2 points
5. The Project will utilize partnerships to successfully accomplish the Project. Identify The
the number of partner groups or organizations that will actively participate in the Project, are:
Partners cannot include any unit of the OHMVR Division, subcontractors, or any participants
being paid by this OHV Grant or Cooperative Agreement. (Check the one most appropriate)
4 or more (4 points)
2 to 3 (2 points)
1 (1 point)
None (No points)
List each partner organization(s) separately and explain provide a detailed explanation for
how each partner(s) will participate in the project:
6. The Project will avoid and/or minimize impact to natural and cultural resources by: (Check all that apply)
Maintaining physical barriers to control Controlling OHV use (i.e. signage, route
delineation, etc.) (1 point)
Protecting water quality (1 point)
Providing bridges instead of an alternative to wet crossings where appropriate (1 point)
Protecting special-status species (1 point)
Re-routing trails to divert away from riparian/wetlands areas (1 point)
Providing sanitary facilities (1 point)
Protecting cultural site(s) (1 point)
Site design precludes the need for the above measures (7 points)
Explain Provide a detailed explanation for each statement that was checked:
Occasione Manipular of 7 a cinta
Scoring: Maximum of 7 points

Barrier materials which include recycled content or materials obtained onsite (1 point)
Signs, sign posts or education kiosks which use products with recycled content (1 point)
Erosion control features which use materials with recycled content (1 point)
Paper used for trail maps which includes recycled content (1 point)
Other products with recycled content (Specify): (1 point)
8. The Project makes substantial use of sustainable technologies, meaning at least 50% of
the project activities use sustainable technologies, such as:
 Alternative fuel vehicles and equipment
 Renewable energy sources (e.g., solar, wind)
 Low volatile organic compound emission materials (e.g., paint, sealants, carpet)
 Low flow plumbing fixtures
$\Delta M_{\rm eff}$ and $M_{\rm eff}$ and $M_{\rm eff}$ and $M_{\rm eff}$
Water efficient landscaping
Water efficient landscaping No (No points)
□ No (No points)
☐ No (No points) ☐ Yes (4 points)
☐ No (No points) ☐ Yes (4 points)
No (No points) Yes (4 points) Explain "Yes" response: 98. The Project improves and/or maintains facilities that provide motorized access to the
No (No points) Yes (4 points) Explain "Yes" response:
No (No points) Yes (4 points) Explain "Yes" response: 98. The Project improves and/or maintains facilities that provide motorized access to the
No (No points) Yes (4 points) Explain "Yes" response: 98. The Project improves and/or maintains facilities that provide motorized access to the following non-motorized recreation opportunities: (Check all that apply)
No (No points) Yes (4 points) Explain "Yes" response: 98. The Project improves and/or maintains facilities that provide motorized access to the following non-motorized recreation opportunities: (Check all that apply) Camping Birding
No (No points) Yes (4 points) Explain "Yes" response: 98. The Project improves and/or maintains facilities that provide motorized access to the following non-motorized recreation opportunities: (Check all that apply) Camping Birding Hiking Equestrian trails

Evaluation Criteria Planning Projects

Applicant:	Application Year	
Project	Project Number	
Name	(Division Only)	

PLANNING PROJECT CRITERIA

It is extremely important for Applicants to reference factual documentation to support their responses in the Application. Points will be awarded only for responses that are supported by published information, facts, statistics, or other published factual documentation with citations referenced when requested.

1. As calculated on the Project Cost Estimate, the percentage of the Project costs covered by
the Applicant is: (Check the one most appropriate)
76% or more (10 points)
51% - 75% (5 points)
26% - 50% (3 points)
25% (Match minimum) (No points)
2. The Planning Project would address the following: (Check all that apply)
Potential effects of OHV Recreation on special-status species habitats
Potential effects of OHV Recreation on cultural resources
Potential effects of OHV Recreation on soil conditions
Potential effects of OHV Recreation on water quality
Potential effects of OHV Recreation on other recreation uses
Potential effects of OHV Recreation on adjacent lands.
Potential impact to relationships between OHV Recreation and local residents
Toxic or hazardous materials within a Project Area or adjacent property that may impact OHV Recreation
Potential offsite impacts relative to the Project Area (e.g., sound, fugitive dust, runoff)
Trail issues such as traffic patterns, trails closures, appropriate uses, etc.
Explain Provide a detailed explanation for each statement that was checked:
Scoring:
6 or more items checked (4 points)
4 to 5 items checked (3 points)
2 to 3 items checked (2 points)
1 or no items checked (No points)

	ead to improved facilities that provide motorized access
to the following nonmotorized recrea	tion opportunities: (Check all that apply)
Camping	Birding
Hiking	Equestrian trails
Fishing	Rock Climbing
Hunting	Other (Specify)
Scoring: 2 points each, up to a maxi	mum of 6 points
4. The Project proposal was develop	ped with public input prior to the preliminary Application
	etings and participants. Do not include internal agency
	more than 12 months prior to filing the preliminary
Application. Public input employed the	
The Applicant initiated and congeneral public to discuss Project	ducted publicly Publicly noticed meeting(s) with the ct (1 point)
Conference call(s) with interest	
	leeting(s) with <u>multiple distinct</u> stakeholders (1 point)
•	ecked and identify the dates of the meetings or calls:
Provide a detailed explanation for ea	ach statement that was checked:
On the Marian world wints	
Scoring: Maximum of 2 points	
5. If the Project were approved, the	planning process would incorporate substantial
stakeholder input:	planning process would interporate substantial
No (No points)	
Yes (5 points)	
	a detailed explanation for how it would be "substantial".
Identify stakeholders:	a detailed explanation for flow it would be substantial.
identity statemorders.	
the number of partner groups or org Partners cannot include any unit of t	os to successfully accomplish the Project. <u>Identify The</u> anizations that will <u>actively</u> participate in the Project. <u>are:</u> he OHMVR Division, subcontractors, or any participants operative Agreement. (Check the one most appropriate)
4 or more (4 points)	
2 to 3 (2 points)	
1 (1 point)	
None (No points)	
List <u>each</u> partner organization(s) <u>ser</u> how each partner(s) will participate i	parately and provide a detailed explanation for explain

Project will develop management plans for existing OHV Opportunity (46 points) Project will complete environmental review for an OHV Development Project (35 points) Project supports development of OHV Opportunities adjacent within 60 miles to of population centers (35 points) Project supports development of OHV Opportunities in areas that lack legal OHV Opportunity (24 points) Project will develop a system of designated OHV routes for an existing OHV Opportunity (23 points) Explain Provide a detailed explanation for each statement that was checked: 8. Funds for implementing the completed plan have been identified: No (No points) Yes (5 points)
Project will complete environmental review for an OHV Development Project (35 points) Project supports development of OHV Opportunities adjacent within 60 miles to of population centers (35 points) Project supports development of OHV Opportunities in areas that lack legal OHV Opportunity (24 points) Project will develop a system of designated OHV routes for an existing OHV Opportunity (23 points) Explain Provide a detailed explanation for each statement that was checked: 8. Funds for implementing the completed plan have been identified: No (No points) Yes (5 points)
Project supports development of OHV Opportunities adjacent within 60 miles to of population centers (35 points) Project supports development of OHV Opportunities in areas that lack legal OHV Opportunity (24 points) Project will develop a system of designated OHV routes for an existing OHV Opportunity (23 points) Explain Provide a detailed explanation for each statement that was checked: 8. Funds for implementing the completed plan have been identified: No (No points) Yes (5 points)
population centers (35 points) Project supports development of OHV Opportunities in areas that lack legal OHV Opportunity (24 points) Project will develop a system of designated OHV routes for an existing OHV Opportunity (23 points) Explain Provide a detailed explanation for each statement that was checked: 8. Funds for implementing the completed plan have been identified: No (No points) Yes (5 points)
Project supports development of OHV Opportunities in areas that lack legal OHV Opportunity (24 points) Project will develop a system of designated OHV routes for an existing OHV Opportunity (23 points) Explain Provide a detailed explanation for each statement that was checked: 8. Funds for implementing the completed plan have been identified: No (No points) Yes (5 points)
Opportunity (24 points) Project will develop a system of designated OHV routes for an existing OHV Opportunity (23 points) Explain Provide a detailed explanation for each statement that was checked: 8. Funds for implementing the completed plan have been identified: No (No points) Yes (5 points)
Project will develop a system of designated OHV routes for an existing OHV Opportunity (23 points) Explain Provide a detailed explanation for each statement that was checked: 8. Funds for implementing the completed plan have been identified: No (No points) Yes (5 points)
Opportunity (23 points) Explain Provide a detailed explanation for each statement that was checked: 8. Funds for implementing the completed plan have been identified: No (No points) Yes (5 points)
8. Funds for implementing the completed plan have been identified: No (No points) Yes (5 points)
8. Funds for implementing the completed plan have been identified: No (No points) Yes (5 points)
No (No points) Yes (5 points)
No (No points)Yes (5 points)
No (No points) Yes (5 points)
No (No points) Yes (5 points)
Yes (5 points)
Evoloin "Voo" roononoo:
Explain "Yes" response:
Reference document:
9. Offsite Impacts
The Planning Project would address offsite impacts relative to the Project Area (e.g., sound,
fugitive dust, runoff):
No (No points)
Yes (5 points)
Explain "Yes" response:
10 8. If successful, would the Project lead to the creation of a new OHV Opportunity within
the jurisdiction of a Land Manager that does not currently provide OHV Opportunity?
No (No points)
Yes (10 15 points)
Explain Provide a detailed explanation for the "Yes" response".

Evaluation Criteria Restoration Projects

Applicant:	Application Year	
Project	Project Number	
Name	(Division Only)	

RESTORATION PROJECT CRITERIA

It is extremely important for Applicants to reference factual documentation to support their responses in the Application. Points will be awarded only for responses that are supported by published information, facts, statistics, or other published factual documentation with citations referenced when requested.

1. As calculated on the Project Cost Estimate, the percentage of the Project costs covered by
the Applicant is: (Check the one most appropriate)
76% or more (10 points)
51% - 75% (5 points)
26% - 50% (3 points)
25% (Match minimum) (No points)
2. Natural and Cultural Resources - Failure to fund the Project will result in adverse impacts
to: (Check all that apply)
Domestic water supply (e.g., municipal reservoir, canal, well) (4 points)
Archeological and historical resources identified in the California Register of Historical
Resources or the Federal National Register of Historic Places (3 points)
Stream or other watercourse (3 points)
Soils - Site actively eroding (2 points)
Sensitive areas (e.g., wilderness, riparian, wetlands, Areas of Critical Environmental
C <u>oncern</u>)
Number of sensitive habitats (2 points each, up to a maximum of 6)
☐ Threatened and Endangered (T&E) listed species
Number of T&E species (2 point each, up to a maximum of 6)
Other special-status species*
Number of special-status species (1 point each, up to a maximum of 3)
Project is solely for Restoration Planning (No points)
Describe Provide a detailed explanation regarding the type and severity of impacts that
might occur relative to the item(s) checked above:
* Coo LMD Dort 2. Cootion II

^{*} See HMP Part 2, Section II.

3. Reason for the Project: (Check the one most appropriate)
Protect special-status species or cultural site (4 points)
Restore natural resource system damaged by OHV activity (4 points)
OHV activity in a closed area (3 points)
Alternative measures attempted, but failed (2 points)
Management decision (1 point)
Scientific and cultural studies (1 point)
☐ Planning efforts associated with Restoration (1 point)
Reference Document: Provide a name and date of reference document:
4. Measures to ensure success –The Project makes use of the following elements to ensure
successful implementation: (Check all that apply)
Site monitoring to prevent additional damage (2 points)
Construction of barriers and other traffic control devices (2 points)
Use of native plants and materials (2 points)
Incorporation of universally recognized "Best Management Practices" (2 points)
Educational signage (2 points)
☐ Identification of alternate OHV routes to ensure that OHV activities will not reoccur in
restored area (2 points)
Project is solely for Restoration Planning (No points)
Explain Provide a detailed explanation for each item checked above:
5. Is there a publicly reviewed and adopted plan (e.g., wilderness designation, land
management plans, route designation decisions) that supports the need for the Restoration
Project?
No (No points)
Yes (5 points)
Identify Provide a name and date of plan:
6. Primary funding source for future operational costs associated with the Project will be:
(Check the one most appropriate)
Applicant's or Land Manager's operational budget (5 points)
Volunteer support and/or donations (<u>34</u> points)
Combination of OHV Trust Funds and operational budget (3 points)
Other Grant funding (2 points)
OHV Trust Funds (No points)
Explain checked statement: Provide a detailed explanation:

7. The Project was developed with public input prior to the preliminary Application filing deadline. Identify date(s) of meetings and participants. Do not include internal agency meetings or meetings that occurred more than 12 months prior to filing the preliminary Application. Public input employed the following: (Check all that apply) The Applicant initiated and conducted publicly Publicly noticed meeting(s) with the general public to discuss Project (1 point) Conference call(s) with interested parties
The Applicant had meeting(s) Meeting(s) with multiple distinct stakeholders (1 point) Explain each statement that was checked and identify the dates of the meetings or calls: Provide a detailed explanation for each statement that was checked:
Scoring: 1 point each, up to a maximum of 2 points
8. The Project will utilize partnerships to successfully accomplish the Project. Identify The the number of partner groups or organizations that will actively participate in the Project. are: Partners cannot include any unit of the OHMVR Division, subcontractors, or any participants being paid by this OHV Grant or Cooperative Agreement. (Check the one most appropriate) 4 or more (4 points) 2 to 3 (2 points) 1 (1 point) None (No points) List each partner organization(s) separately and explain provide a detailed explanation for how each partner(s) will participate in the project:
9. Scientific and cultural studies will (Respond ONLY if Restoration Project involves scientific and/or cultural studies.) (Check all that apply): Determine appropriate Restoration techniques (2 points) Examine potential effects of OHV Recreation on natural or cultural resources (2 points) Examine methods to ensure success of Restoration efforts (1 point) Lead to direct management action (1 point) Explain each item checked above Provide a detailed explanation:
10. The underlying problem that resulted in the need for the Restoration Project has been
effectively addressed and resolved prior to this Application: No (No points) Yes (3 points) Explain "Yes" answer Provide a detailed explanation for the "Yes" response:

11. Size of sensitive habitats (e.g., wilderness, riparian, wetlands, ACEC) which will be restored within the Project Area (Check the one most appropriate):		
	Greater than 10 acres of sensitive habitat will be restored within the Project Area (5 points)	
	1 – 10 acres of sensitive habitat will be restored within the Project Area (3 points)	
	Less than 1 acre of sensitive habitat will be restored within the Project Area (1 points)	
	No sensitive habitat will be restored within Project Area (No points)	